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Background

A comprehensive system modeling methodology with coherent ontology is essential for system architecting and engineering. Starting with a model as early as possible in the system lifecycle increases the likelihood that the requirements will be captured in the most consistent and comprehensive way possible. The major hurdle, however, is that the customers rarely master a modeling language, making models for the most part inaccessible to them. The result is way too often a system that falls short of fulfilling even the minimal expectations. The reality of changing requirements further exacerbates the situation, calling for an agile modeling paradigm that is at the same time both intuitive and comprehensible while also being formal. Object-Process Methodology, a unifying approach for developing, communicating, supporting and evolving systems of various domains, types, magnitudes and complexities, meets these requirements. OPM is founded on well-defined ontology with solid infrastructure; it has clear, formal, single-model semantics expressed bi-modally via graphics and natural language. It enable fast and reliable system modeling; and it caters to domain experts who are not IT professionals and therefore enables them to actively engage in the development process as part of the team. Taught at leading institutions of higher education and used in Industry, OPM has evolved as a significant extension of Object technology which caters equally well to systems’ structure (through objects and relations) and behavior (through processes that transform objects). OPM encompasses the entire lifecycle of a software system or product, from concept and initiation through development to deployment. Throughout the tutorial, case studies and examples for requirements elicitation and engineering processes will be demonstrated using OPCAT – OPM's Integrated Systems Engineering Environment. Attendees will get a chance to experience first hand the effect of the OPM-based RE approach and the OPCAT software environment by applying cases from their own domains of expertise.

Tutorial Goals and History

The tutorial will present the underlying OPM ontology and its application for requirements engineering, and in particular interactive requirements elicitation and analysis. It is based in part on material I have been teaching at MIT’s Engineering
Systems Division as well as the Summer Professional Institute. It will follow in the footsteps of a series of successful tutorials


Potential Attendees and Background Knowledge

The target audience includes information technology professionals interested in modeling software systems. Specifically, analysts, designers, requirement modelers, database administrators and system integrators, executives, and project leaders will benefit from attending the tutorial and applying OPM for the purpose of developing better systems faster and more reliably. Background knowledge in object technology is helpful but not mandatory.

Outline

Part I

OPM ontology: Objects, processes, states, and how they relate. Object-Process Diagrams and Object-Process Language, transformations and state transitions, enablers, transformees, fundamental structural relations: aggregation, characterization, generalization and Inheritance, classification-instantiation, complexity management, abstraction and refinement mechanisms,

Part II

System lifecycle, knowledge elicitation from domain experts and from unaware professionals, requirements analysis and consistency checking, case study analysis, experiencing RE with OPCAT.

Reading

The main textbook for the course is: Dori, D. Object-Process Methodology: A Holistic Systems Paradigm. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2002. The book includes a CD with three CASE tools that support OPM and generate natural language from the diagrams. The most advanced of these tools, OPCAT 2, will serve for demonstration of OPM principles and applications during the tutorial and will be given to the attendees free of charge.
Testimonies on OPM

1. Mr. Mark Richer, Systems & Applications Architect at Pratt & Whitney Canada (mark.richer@pwc.ca) wrote the following about OPM:

"OPM is a methodology designed to facilitate the formal and rigorous description and analysis of systems, be they information systems or physical systems. The striking feature about OPM is that it recognizes as peers the notion of Process and Object. This enables OPM to provide true unified modelling of the structural as well as dynamic aspects of a system. This is in contrast to traditional Object Oriented analysis, such as UML, which use a variety of different modelling formats and symbols to accomplish the same end. For this reason, OPM is being used at P&WC to perform requirements elicitation, systems analysis and the development of precise domain specific ontologies.

Another striking feature of OPM is that it combines a graphics based model, termed Object Process Diagram or OPD, with structured formal declarative statements that complement each interaction depicted on the diagram. These declarative statements are termed Object Process Language or OPL paragraphs. The added value of having both views, graphic and semantic, is that it facilitates non-specialist understanding of the resultant analysis. A short-coming of OPM at the present time is its lack of support for mechanical theorem proving, which would provide consistency checking of the system being developed. This becomes critical as the size of the project increases. At P&WC, we have used OPM to develop an axiomized ontology pertaining to P&WC’s unique set of Product Configuration Management concepts, which resulted in the generation of thousands of statements in OPL. We would have dearly enjoyed the benefits of mechanical consistency checking on this project.

The above mentioned Configuration Management project has now been expanded to include the participation of consultants from IBM Canada, Enovia Montreal and Enovia Labs in Charlotte N.C. IBM Canada and Enovia have agreed to use OPM as the means to formally and precisely model the concepts embodied by the Enovia LCA (Life Cycle Applications) product as part of an effort to establish the fits and gaps between this product and P&WC’s needs.

OPM is also being used for requirements elicitation and systems analysis on a major P&WC/Engineering project to implement an Earned Value Management System (EVMS), which will bring the Engineering Change Request process together with Project Systems capabilities of SAP. This particular project is a full blown J2EE implementation."

2. In the preface of this course textbook, Object-Process Methodology – A Holistic Systems Paradigm (Dori, D., Springer Verlag, 2002), Prof. Edward Crawley, Head of MIT’s Aero-Astro Department, who teaches OPM in his Systems Architecture course, wrote:

Mature disciplines, such as mechanics, are well into the era of symbolic manipulation and prediction. Maturing disciplines, such as human genomics, are in the phase of symbolic representation. OPM is a parallel development in symbolic representation of systems. … I have used OPM in my System Architecture course at MIT. It has proved an invaluable tool to professional learners in developing models of complex technical systems, such as automobiles, spacecraft and software systems. It allows an explicit representation of the form/function duality, and provides an environment in which various architectural options can be examined. Incorporating OPM into my subject has added the degree of rigor of analysis necessary to move the study of technical system architecture towards that of an engineering discipline.

One can anticipate that there will be many academic applications of OPM. I would consider using it in intermediate or advanced subjects in system engineering, product development, engineering design and software engineering. It is ideal for courses that demonstrate how various disciplines come together to form a multi-disciplinary product.

3. The following email letter was received on July 18, 2004 from Mr. Scott Moberly of Mykotronx:

Dr. Dori:
I thought I should let you know of an OPM success story I have had recently. I am a Systems Engineer designing embedded systems in the United States. Over the last 2 years, our company has tried to get to a CMM level 3 rating but has had great difficulty helping the employees understand the process architecture of the company. I was put in charge of a small team of engineers to define the architecture. I am happy to say that after a few weeks, and using OPM, we have been able to define the architecture that will be able to get us to the level 3 rating. Our company could have saved themselves a lot of time (and money) if they would have let us do this 2 years ago. Thanks for such a great job on this notation - beats UML hands down!

Scott Moberly
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